

1 Steven J. Parsons  
2 Nevada Bar No. 363  
3 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. PARSONS  
4 10091 Park Run Dr Ste 200  
5 Las Vegas NV 89145-8868  
6 (702) 384-9900  
7 (702) 384-5900 (fax)  
[Steve@SJlawyer.com](mailto:Steve@SJlawyer.com)  
8  
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
10 ERIN WETZEL

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 ERIN WETZEL, an individual,

Case No. 2:16-cv-02271-RFB-(PAL)

10 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND JOINT MOTION  
FOR AN ORDER TO EXTEND THE  
DISCOVERY DEADLINES AND  
MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER  
AND (PROPOSED) ORDER  
(Second Request)**

11 VS.

12 **MEDICWEST AMBULANCE, INC.**, a Nevada  
13 corporation; and **ENVISION HEALTHCARE**  
**CORPORATION**, a Delaware corporation,

14

Defendant.

16 Plaintiff, ERIN WETZEL an individual, by her attorneys, Steven J. Parsons of LAW OFFICES  
17 OF STEVEN J. PARSONS, and Defendants MEDICWEST AMBULANCE, INC., and ENVISION  
18 HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, by their attorneys, Daniel F. Fears, Matthew L. Durham and  
19 Chad D. Olsen of PAYNE & FEARS, LLP, pursuant to LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-4, hereby stipulate and  
20 jointly move the Court for an Order that the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order be modified  
21 to extend the close of discovery and other deadlines for ninety (90) days so that all pre-trial  
22 discovery may be completed.

23 This Stipulation is filed for good cause and excusable neglect as set forth in the below,  
24 and not merely for delay.

#### A. Discovery Completed

26 Discovery completed in this matter to date:

27           1. The parties have exchanged initial disclosures;



*10091 Park Run Drive Suite 200  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145-8868  
(702)384-9900; fax (702)384-5900  
[Info@SIPlawyer.com](mailto:Info@SIPlawyer.com)*

- 1           2. Plaintiff served interrogatories and requests for production on each Defendant
- 2           and Defendants have served their responses;
- 3           3. Defendants served Plaintiff with interrogatories and requests for production and
- 4           Plaintiff has served her responses;
- 5           4. Defendants took Plaintiff's deposition on February 13, 2017, June 6, 2017,
- 6           and July 27, 2017 – the Plaintiff's deposition has been completed;
- 7           5. Defendants issued subpoenas to third parties, including the North Las Vegas
- 8           Police Department ("NLVPD") and AT&T Wireless; and
- 9           6. Plaintiff took the deposition of two of Defendants' employees on November 30,
- 10           2017.

11           **B. Discovery that Remains to be Completed**

12           Discovery yet to be completed includes:

- 13           1. The deposition of Defendants' Human Resources director and employee, Janice
- 14           Gonzalez. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Ms. Gonzalez was Defendants'
- 15           executive that was primarily responsible for the Defendants' responses to the
- 16           underlying incident and the matters complained-of by Plaintiff;
- 17           2. The parties may issue second-sets of written discovery, depending on the
- 18           content of the yet to be completed deposition testimony; and
- 19           3. The Plaintiff may need to depose one or more members of NLVPD, as it was the
- 20           police authority that received Plaintiff's complaint of the wrongdoing set out in
- 21           her Complaint.
- 22           4. The parties may issue deposition subpoenas for documents and/or depositions
- 23           following recent and soon to be completed deposition testimony.

24           **C. Reasons Why the Remaining Discovery Was Not Completed**

25           Good cause and excusable neglect exists for this Stipulation and joint motion, for the

26           following reasons:

- 27           1. During late 2016 and well into the third-quarter of 2017, Plaintiff's counsel,

1 Steven J. Parsons, age 66, continued to suffer outfall from his chronic condition of adult-onset  
 2 (Type II) diabetes. Because of serious and life-threatening *osteomyelitis* infections of MRSA  
 3 of his left foot, first evident in September 2016, Mr. Parsons required two surgeries – first in  
 4 December 2016, then again, upon an infection and relapse of the condition, in February  
 5 2017. These maladies resulted in a period of hospitalization, a repeated diagnosis of disability  
 6 and absence from his practice on at least three (3) occasions – each time a physician-directed  
 7 period of at least six (6) weeks, including the administration of very strong, intravenous  
 8 antibiotics and other therapies.

9         In late May 2017, rather than undergo a recommended *third* surgery on his left foot,  
 10 Mr. Parsons began a further evaluation of his condition. Mr. Parsons sought and obtained  
 11 consultations with other physicians and noted surgeons, one of which was out-of-state, to  
 12 accurately discern his actual need of further surgery and his medical condition. In a classic  
 13 sort of way, Plaintiff's counsel received information, diagnosis and advice from numerous  
 14 physicians, all of which ranged the possibilities of additional surgeries to simply monitoring the  
 15 condition combined with ongoing physician-observation and care (which Plaintiff's counsel  
 16 ultimately chose to do.) The decision to resist an additional surgery became more evident and  
 17 Mr. Parsons' choice by the end of September, 2017.<sup>1</sup>

18         This period of distress, additional weekly medical care and distraction for Mr. Parsons  
 19 caused an inordinate delay in the discovery in this case.<sup>2</sup>

20

21

---

22         <sup>1</sup>Even now, some of Mr. Parsons' medical providers continue to express concern for his  
 23 condition. Mr. Parsons has assured each of them that with less frequent medical visits over  
 24 longer periods of time, he is satisfied he has made the correct care choices.

25         <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff's counsel at all times informed Defendants' counsel of counsel's illness,  
 26 recovery and disability as it occurred. The parties have and continue to work well together in  
 27 preparing this significant case for resolution or trial. Nonetheless, given Mr. Parsons'  
 unavailability, scheduling and completion of certain work that preceded the commencement  
 of depositions of Defendants' employees was distended and not timely prosecuted.

1           2. Well aware of the Court's most recent Order (Doc. No. 25)<sup>3</sup> the parties worked  
2 in collaboration up to the very end of the current discovery deadline. Nonetheless, the parties  
3 are in complete agreement that additional discovery is warranted, still outstanding and  
4 basically fair to the parties. This stipulation and joint Motion is in the interests of justice, and  
5 not simply cause for delay.

6           The parties are working diligently to obtain mutually agreeable dates and times for the  
7 completion of all outstanding depositions.

8           3. During the depositions of MedicWest's supervisor-employees Jesse Chmielewski  
9 and Michael Whitehead, on November 30, 2017, Plaintiff's counsel learned additional facts  
10 about Defendants' policies, practices, and procedures, and the facts surrounding the subject  
11 incident that created a need for additional research and preparation prior to taking Defendant's  
12 Rule 30(b)(6) deposition – ostensibly Defendants' Human Resources director and employee,  
13 Janice Gonzalez, which was scheduled for the following day.

14          The parties readily agreed to reschedule the 30(b)(6) deposition to allow Plaintiff's  
15 counsel the additional time necessary to prepare for the deposition in light of the testimony  
16 of Chmielewski and Whitehead.

17          D. Proposed Schedule for Completing all Remaining Discovery

18          Therefore, the parties hereby propose the following schedule for completing all  
19 remaining discovery:

20 ...

21 ...

22 ...

23 \_\_\_\_\_

24          <sup>3</sup> Delays in completing discovery were readily apparent to counsel at the time of the  
25 parties' earlier request to the court for more time for discovery (Doc No. 24), but Plaintiff's  
26 counsel Mr. Parsons, was awaiting further developments in his medical condition, and he  
27 chose to not disclose his personal medical condition in public documents. Though seemingly  
appropriate at the time, Mr. Parsons now regrets his earlier decision, and the extra effort it  
causes the Court to expend in considering this later Stipulation and Joint Motion.

		Old Deadline	New Deadline
1			
2	• Deadline to Complete Discovery	12/14/2017	03/14/2018
3	• <b>Dispositive Motions Due</b>	01/15/2018	04/13/2018
4	• <b>Joint Pretrial Order</b>	03/01/2018	05/30/2018

5           Based on the foregoing, the parties respectfully stipulate, jointly move the Court and  
6 request that the Court extend the discovery deadlines and modify the scheduling Order, as set-  
7 out above for the good cause shown by the parties.

8 Dated: Tuesday, December 19, 2017.

Dated: Tuesday, December 19, 2017.

9 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. PARSONS

PAYNE & FEARS, LLP

10 /s/ Steven J. Parsons  
STEVEN J. PARSONS  
11 Nevada Bar No. 363

/s/ Matthew L. Durham  
MATTHEW L. DURHAM  
Nevada Bar No. 10342  
CHAD D. OLSON  
Nevada Bar No. 12060

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**ERIN WETZEL**

Attorney for Defendants  
**MEDICWEST AMBULANCE, INC. and**  
**ENVISION HEALTHCARE CORPORATION**

## ORDER

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 22, 2017

Terry A. Steen  
U.S. DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE